

March 26, 2021

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

RE: Docket 5113 – Updated Advanced Metering Functionality Business Case
Docket 5114 – Grid Modernization Plan
National Grid's Response and Objection to Division's Motion

Dear Ms. Massaro:

On behalf of National Grid<sup>1</sup> and in accordance with the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Rule 810-RICR-00-00-1.16(D), enclosed is the Company's Response to the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers' Opposition to the Consolidation of Docket Nos. 5113 and 5114 and Objection to Motion to Stay Docket No. 5114 in the above-referenced proceeding.<sup>2</sup>

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please contact me 401-784-7288.

Very truly yours,

Jennifer Brooks Hutchinson

July Burg High

#### **Enclosures**

cc: Docket 4770/4780 Service List John Bell, Division Leo Wold, Esq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid (National Grid or the Company).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Per Commission counsel's update on October 2, 2020, concerning the COVID-19 emergency period, the Company is submitting an electronic version of this filing followed by five hard copies filed with the Clerk within 24 hours of the electronic filing.

#### STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

#### RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 5113
DOCKET NO. 5114

# RESPONSE OF THE NARRAGANSETT ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A NATIONAL GRID TO THE RHODE ISLAND DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CARRIERS' OPPOSITION TO THE CONSOLIDATION OF DOCKET NOS. 5113 AND 5114 AND OBJECTION TO MOTION TO STAY DOCKET NO. 5114

National Grid<sup>1</sup> submits this memorandum in accordance with the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (PUC) Rule 810-RICR-00-00-1.16(D) (Rule 1.16(D)) in response to the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers' (Division) Opposition to the Consolidation of Docket Nos. 5113 and 5114 and hereby objects to the Division's Motion to Stay Docket No. 5114 (Division Motion).<sup>2</sup> As a threshold matter, the Company supports consolidation of the dockets. Consideration of the dockets at the same time will streamline the PUC's review of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid (National Grid or the Company).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Company acknowledges that since the filing of the Division's Opposition to the Consolidation of Docket Nos. 5113 and 5114 and Motion to Stay Docket No. 5114 (Division's Motion), National Grid announced the sale of its Rhode Island utility business to PPL Corporation (the PPL Transaction). The Company is not addressing the potential implications of the PPL Transaction in this Response. This Response addresses the merits of the Division's recommendations as contained in the Division Motion filed on March 17, 2021.

Company's Updated Advanced Metering Functionality (AMF) Business Case and Grid Modernization Plan (GMP) filings and achieve certain efficiencies (e.g. discovery).

Also, in this response, the Company wishes to clarify certain statements in the Division Motion regarding the nature the GMP and Updated AMF Business Case filings. Finally, the Company objects to the Division Motion with respect to staying Docket No. 5114 and to their proposal to delay a decision on the Updated AMF Business Case on grounds that (1) the Amended Settlement Agreement (ASA) approved by the PUC at its Open Meeting on August 24, 2018 in Docket Nos. 4770 and 4780<sup>3</sup> explicitly contemplated that the Updated AMF Business Case and GMP would be considered in conjunction with each other; and (2) delaying consideration of the Updated AMF Business Case until a potential next rate case is inconsistent with the ASA and overlooks the stated need to advance a metering solution in Rhode Island to address the pending asset condition issues facing the existing automated meter reading (AMR) fleet.

#### I. BACKGROUND

On January 21, 2021, National Grid separately filed its Updated AMF Business Case and GMP in Docket Nos. 5113 and 5114, respectively. The two filings were the culmination of a multi-year collaborative effort, which included engagement with multiple stakeholders, including the Division, through the AMF/GMP Subcommittee of the Power Sector Transformation (PST) Advisory Group (PST Subcommittee), a stakeholder group established as part of the ASA. The ASA specifically required the Company to file the GMP "within a reasonable time after, or in conjunction with, the filing of the Updated AMF Business Case … to allow the PUC to consider

 $^{3}\ See$  Docket Nos. 4770 and 4780, Report and Order No. 23823 (May 5, 2020).

the GMP and Updated AMF Business case together."<sup>4</sup> Based on stakeholder and PUC feedback, the Company worked to establish a tighter integration between the Updated AMF Business Case and the GMP to create one holistic plan designed to address unmet customer needs, among other needs for Rhode Island.<sup>5</sup>

On March 15, 2021, the PUC issued an Open Meeting Notice to discuss and vote on the consolidation of Docket Nos. 5113 and 5114. On March 17, 2021, the Division filed the Division Motion opposing consolidation of the dockets and, contrary to the ASA, recommending the PUC delay consideration of AMF until the next rate case and defer consideration of the GMP until after the PUC has issued a decision on the Company's Updated AMF Business Case. In its filing, the Division cites the "significant time and resources" it will take to properly review and analyze both filings and that consolidation will render such review and preparation of testimony impracticable. In addition, the Division makes certain statements and characterizations regarding the foundational nature of AMF to grid modernization as a basis for its recommendation to stay the GMP filing until after the Updated AMF Business Case is completed. For the reasons set forth below, the Company opposes the Division's recommendations.

#### II. LEGAL STANDARD

Rule 1.16(D) provides that "[a]ny party objecting to a written motion filed pursuant to this rule shall, within ten (10) days of the service of the motion, file an objection thereto in writing setting forth in detail the grounds for the objection."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ASA at 48; *see also* Report and Order No. 23823 at 22 ("The PUC found that an Advanced Metering Functionality Business Case to be integral to any Grid Modernization Plan. Therefore, the parties were encouraged to file the two as close together as possible.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The integration between AMF and the GMP roadmap is fully discussed in Section 4 of the Company's Updated AMF Business Case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Division Motion at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *See Id.* at 3.

#### III. ARGUMENT

#### 1. The GMP is not solely dependent on approval of AMF.

The Division's characterizations regarding the foundational nature of AMF to mean that grid modernization would not be possible without AMF, and that the timing of the filings as set forth in the Paragraph 15(b) of the ASA was somehow a recognition of "the likely inconsistent nature of the [GMP and Updated AMF Business Case] filings," are simply incorrect.<sup>8</sup> The Division provides no meaningful support for either characterization.

Beginning with the ASA, the PUC and the settling parties recognized the interrelated nature of the GMP and Updated AMF Business Case. The PUC required the settling parties to include certain elements in the Updated AMF Business Case, among which included, "[i]dentification of what functionalities the AMF will achieve that *are part of* the grid modernization plan [sic] and which *are in addition* to the Grid Modernization Plan." The Company affirmed this approach with stakeholders as part of the PST Subcommittee.<sup>10</sup>

Toward that end, the Company's filings identify 15 key grid modernization functionalities, noting that "AMF is foundational to three" of those functionalities, while providing "significant enhancements to several others." The two proposals, although interrelated, are not solely dependent on one another. Accordingly, the Division's characterization of the GMP and Updated AMF Business Case as sequential proposals has no basis in either the ASA or the Company's filings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Division Motion at 2, f.n 1; see also Report and Order No. 23823 supra note 3 (providing additional support that the timing of the filing was, in no way, a recognition by either the settling parties or the PUC that the GMP and Updated AMF Business Case were "inconsistent" with one another).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Report and Order No. 23823 at 23, f.n. 76 (emphasis added); *See also* Article II, Section C.16.b.iv of the ASA (incorporating the required elements for the Updated AMF Business Case).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Updated AMF Business Case, Table 2-1 at Bates Page 83 ("Feedback that a list of interrelated functionalities should appear in the filing. Agreement that simultaneous filings make these relationships easier to understand.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Docket No. 5113, Testimony and Attachments of Kristoffer P. Kiefer & Stephen Lasher (AMF Testimony) at 24; *see also* Updated AMF Business Case at Bates Pages 106-109.

#### 2. The GMP and Updated AMF Business Case should be considered together.

As discussed above, the PUC found that the GMP and Updated AMF Business Case should be considered "as close together as possible." The settling parties likewise "recognize[d] that the Company's GMP and associated Company proposals will be subject to consideration by the PUC in a separate docket *in conjunction with* the Updated AMF Business Case...." The Company, along with input from the PST Subcommittee developed and filed its proposals in accordance with the PUC's direction and the ASA. As set forth above, nothing in the filings supports the Division's statements that the GMP is wholly contingent on approval of the Updated AMF Business Case, and therefore, should be placed on hold until after the PUC issues a decision on the Company's Updated AMF Business Case.

Moreover, the Division incorrectly states that "specific GMP projects will be proposed in future ISR dockets only <u>after</u> the AMFs [sic] are implemented."<sup>14</sup> Rather, the Company provides a detailed overview of GMP solutions and the anticipated cost recovery filings, including those that may be part of future Infrastructure, Safety, and Reliability (ISR) dockets beginning in fiscal year 2022.<sup>15</sup> Delaying consideration of GMP, until after a decision on AMF (which the Division is also proposing to delay), represents a material deviation from the approach the Company outlined in its filings and would continue to delay important GMP-related investments and the associated customer benefits.

The Company, therefore, submits that the GMP and Updated AMF Business Case should be considered together, either as a consolidated docket or at the same time on parallel tracks.

<sup>14</sup> Division's Motion at 3 (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Report and Order No. 23823 *supra* note 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> ASA at 50 (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Updated AMF Business Case at Bates Pages 109-110.

3. The Division's proposal to delay consideration of AMF until the Company's next rate case is inconsistent with the ASA and the need to identify a clear metering strategy for the aging fleet of AMR assets.

The ASA required the Company to "file the Updated AMF Business Case with the PUC for review and approval of the funding necessary to deploy statewide AMF in Rhode Island in a timeframe consistent with the Updated AMF Business Case . . . ." during the term of the current multi-year rate plan (MRP). The Division describes the Company's use of a September 1, 2021 revenue requirement as establishing an "artificial" deadline for the PUC's completion of the Updated AMF Business Case docket. As required by the ASA, the Company developed a revenue requirement for the AMF proposal and aligned the requirement with the next rate year, understanding that the PUC would ultimately consider the proposal on its own schedule. While the Company agrees with the Division that there is no deadline by which the PUC must complete its review and render a decision on the Company's AMF proposal, the settling parties clearly contemplated that such review would take place during the current MRP.

Furthermore, as set forth in the Updated AMF Business Case and supporting testimony, approximately 60 percent of the existing AMR meters in Rhode Island are expected to reach the end of their estimated 20-year useful life on or before calendar years 2023-2024.<sup>17</sup> Recognizing this, the Company sought to align its AMF proposal with that schedule, accounting for time to complete PUC review, ramp-up the project, and complete back-office work prior to meter installation. The Division's proposal to delay AMF consideration by six months to a potential rate filing in September 2021 (and, therefore, a decision on AMF by nearly 18 months) is inconsistent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Article II, Section C.16.iv of the ASA, Bates Page 54. While the ASA provided a deadline for the filing by February 1, 2019, the Company sought and received PUC approval for extensions of this date through January 31, 2021. Interim Rate Year 4 of the current MRP will commence on September 1, 2021 and end August 31, 2022. 
<sup>17</sup> AMF Testimony at Bates Pages 7, 9; Updated AMF Business Case at Bates Page 101.

with efforts to align the meter strategy and asset planning efforts, and, practically speaking, may lead to cost inefficiencies resulting in higher costs for the Company's customers.

If such a delay were to occur, the Company would need to continue to monitor the health of the AMR meters, adjusting metering purchases as necessary to account for any uptick in meter failures. The purchase and installation of new AMR meters over this period would lead to additional stranded asset costs if the AMF proposal is then later approved. Alternatively, if the PUC does not approve the Company's AMF proposal, the Company will face challenges in quickly pivoting to develop a meter replacement strategy premised on an aging technology, and procuring such assets, which are in increasingly limited supply. This could result in higher costs for customers. For these reasons, the Company maintains that the PUC should consider the Updated AMF Business Case proposal separate from a future base distribution rate case proceeding.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, National Grid respectfully requests that the PUC deny the Division's Motion to Stay Docket No. 5114 and, instead, continue to consider the Company's Updated AMF Business Case and GMP as two interrelated proposals, deserving of review separate from, and in advance of, a future base distribution rate case proceeding.

[Signature page following]

### Respectfully submitted,

## THE NARRAGANSETT ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a NATIONAL GRID

By its attorney,

Jennifer Brooks Hutchinson (#6176)

National Grid 280 Melrose Street Providence, RI 02907 (401) 784-7288

Jennifer.hutchinson@nationalgrid.com

Dated: March 26, 2021

#### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the cover letter and any materials accompanying this certificate was electronically transmitted to the individuals listed below.

The paper copies of this filing are being hand delivered to the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission and to the Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers.

Joanne M. Scanlon

March 26, 2021

Date

## National Grid Docket No. 4770 (Rate Application) & Docket No. 4780 (PST) Combined Service list updated 12/2/2020

Docket No. 4770 Name/Address	E-mail Distribution List	Phone
National Grid Jennifer Hutchinson, Esq. Celia O'Brien, Esq. National Grid 280 Melrose St. Providence, RI 02907  Electric Transportation: Bonnie Crowley Raffetto, Esq. Nancy Israel, Esq. National Grid 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, MA 02451	Jennifer.hutchinson@nationalgrid.com; Andrew.marcaccio@nationalgrid.com; Celia.obrien@nationalgrid.com; Najat.coye@nationalgrid.com; Joanne.scanlon@nationalgrid.com; Bill.Malee@nationalgrid.com; Melissa.little@nationalgrid.com; William.richer@nationalgrid.com; Theresa.burns@nationalgrid.com; Ann.leary@nationalgrid.com; Scott.mccabe@nationalgrid.com; kate.grant2@nationalgrid.com; Timothy.roughan@nationalgrid.com; Jason.Small@nationalgrid.com; bonnie.raffetto@nationalgrid.com; nancy.israel@nationalgrid.com;	781-907-2153 401-784-7288
Adam Ramos, Esq. Hinckley Allen 100 Westminster Street, Suite 1500 Providence, RI 02903-2319	aramos@hinckleyallen.com;	401-457-5164
John Habib Keegan Werlin LLP 99 High Street, Suite 2900 Boston, MA 02110	jhabib@keeganwerlin.com;	617-951-1400
<b>Division of Public Utilities (Division)</b>	Chetherington@riag.ri.gov Leo.Wold@dpuc.ri.gov;	401-780-2140

Lac Wold Egg	Margaret.L.Hogan@dpuc.ri.gov;	
Leo Wold, Esq. Christy Hetherington, Esq.	Waigaret.E.Hogan@upuc.H.gov,	
Division of Public Utilities and Carriers	John.bell@dpuc.ri.gov;	
89 Jefferson Blvd.	Linda.George@dpuc.ri.gov;	
Warwick, RI 02888	Al.mancini@dpuc.ri.gov;	
War wick, Ri 02000	Thomas.kogut@dpuc.ri.gov;	
Tim Woolf	twoolf@synapse-energy.com;	617-661-3248
Jennifer Kallay		
Synapse Energy Economics	jkallay@synapse-energy.com;	
22 Pearl Street	mwhited@synapse-energy.com;	
Cambridge, MA 02139		
David Effron	Djeffron@aol.com;	603-964-6526
Berkshire Consulting	<u>Bjornon e don.com</u> ,	003 701 0320
12 Pond Path		
North Hampton, NH 03862-2243		
Gregory L. Booth, PLLC	gboothpe@gmail.com;	919-441-6440
14460 Falls of Neuse Rd.	goodipe e gman.com,	717-441-0440
Suite 149-110		
		010 010 1616
Raleigh, N. C. 27614		919-810-1616
Linda Kushner		
L. Kushner Consulting, LLC		
514 Daniels St. #254		
Raleigh, NC 27605		
Office of Energy Resources (OER)	Albert.Vitali@doa.ri.gov;	401-222-8880
Albert Vitali, Esq.	nancy.russolino@doa.ri.gov;	
Dept. of Administration	mancy.russonnotadoa.n.gov,	
Division of Legal Services	Christopher.Kearns@energy.ri.gov;	
One Capitol Hill, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor		_
Providence, RI 02908	Nicholas.Ucci@energy.ri.gov;	
	Becca.Trietch@energy.ri.gov;	
	Carrie.Gill@energy.ri.gov;	
	Yasmin.Yacoby.CTR@energy.ri.gov;	
Conservation Law Foundation (CLF)	jelmer@clf.org;	401-228-1904
Jerry Elmer, Esq.		
Max Greene, Esq.		_
Conservation Law Foundation	mgreene@clf.org;	
235 Promenade Street		
Suite 560, Mailbox 28		
Providence, RI 02908		
,	<del></del>	

Dept. of Navy (DON)	kelsey.a.harrer@navy.mil;	757-322-4119
Kelsey A. Harrer, Esq.	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Office of Counsel		
NAVFAC Atlantic, Department of the		
Navy		
6506 Hampton Blvd.		
Norfolk, VA 23508-1278		
Kay Davoodi, Director	khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil;	
Larry R. Allen, Public Utilities		
Specialist		
Utilities Rates and Studies Office	larry.r.allen@navy.mil;	
NAVFAC HQ, Department of the Navy 1322 Patterson Avenue SE		
Suite 1000		
Washington Navy Yard, D.C. 20374		
Ali Al-Jabir	aaljabir@consultbai.com;	
Maurice Brubaker	anguer o veneuroun,	
Brubaker and Associates		
New Energy Rhode Island (NERI)	seth@handylawllc.com;	401-626-4839
Seth H. Handy, Esq.	some nandytawne.com,	101 020 1039
Handy Law, LLC	helen@handylawllc.com;	
42 Weybosset St.		
Providence, RI 02903		
	bdaniels@rileague.org;	401 272-3434
The RI League of Cities and Towns		
c/o Brian Daniels, Executive Director		
DDIGM 0 WGDDG		
PRISM & WCRPC	jb@wcrpc.org;	401-792-9900
c/o Jeff Broadhead, Executive Director		
Newport Solar	doug@newportsolarri.com;	401.787.5682
c/o Doug Sabetti	,	
Green Development, LLC	hm@green-ri.com;	
c/o Hannah Morini		
Clean Economy Development, LLC	jdash@cleaneconomydevelopment.com	
c/o Julian Dash	j;	
ICM Color Davidonment II C	mlucini@ismgroup.com;	401.435.7900
ISM Solar Development, LLC c/o Michael Lucini	mucini@isingroup.com,	+U1.433./7UU
C/O MICHAEL LUCINI		
Heartwood Group, Inc.	unger@hrtwd.com;	401.861.1650
c/o Fred Unger	mgor o men arom,	.51.651.1656
<b>Energy Consumers Alliance of NE</b>	jamie.rhodes@gmail.com;	401-225-3441
James Rhodes		
Rhodes Consulting		

960 West Chare Dd		
860 West Shore Rd.		
Warwick, RI 02889	1	
Lamy Chartier DDI	larry@massenergy.org;	
Larry Chretien, PPL		
Acadia Center	rfine@crfllp.com;	401-453-6400
Robert D. Fine, Esq.	mice crimp.com,	Ext. 115
Chace, Ruttenberg & Freedman, LLP		LAt. 115
One Park Row, Suite 300		
Providence, RI 02903	aboyd@acadiacenter.org;	617-472-0054
110 (1001000), 111 025 03		Ext. 102
Amy Boyd, Esq.		
Acadia Center		
31 Milk St., Suite 501		
Boston MA 02109-5128		
Northeast Clean Energy Council	jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com;	401-724-3600
Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esq.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Keough & Sweeney	jmcdiarmid@necec.org;	
41 Mendon Ave.	jiii didiiii di nove e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Pawtucket, RI 02861		
	dbosley@necec.org;	
Jeremy McDiarmid, NECEC	destroy e necessary,	
Dan Bosley, NECEC	sburke@necec.org;	
Sean Burke		
The George Wiley Center	jwood@centerforjustice.org;	401-491-1101
Jennifer Wood	georgewileycenterri@gmail.com;	
Rhode Island Center for Justice	Camiloviveiros@gmail.com;	
1 Empire Plaza, Suite 410	chloechassaing@hotmail.com;	
Providence, RI 02903	cmoechassanig@notman.com,	
Camila Vivairas Wiley Cantar		
Camilo Viveiros, Wiley Center		
Wal-Mart Stores East & Sam's East,	mhorne@hcc-law.com;	401-272-3500
Inc.	imorne e nec-law.com,	701-272-3300
Melissa M. Horne, Esq.		
Higgins, Cavanagh & Cooney, LLC		
10 Dorrance St., Suite 400		
Providence, RI 20903	Greg.tillman@walmart.com;	479-204-1594
110/100100, 101 20/03		
Gregory W. Tillman, Sr. Mgr./ERA		
Walmart		
AMTRAK	CWatts@mdmc-law.com;	401-519-3848
Clint D. Watts, Esq.	C., and C. manie in w. com,	101 517 5010
Paul E. Dwyer, Esq.	PDwyer@mdmc-law.com;	
	1 Dwyor & manic-law.com,	

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney &	BWeishaar@mcneeslaw.com;	
Carpenter 10 Dorrance St., Suite 700	KStark@mcneeslaw.com;	
Providence, RI 02903	,	
Robert A. Weishaar, Jr., Esq.		
Kenneth R. Stark, Esq.		
Original & 9 copies file w/:	Luly.massaro@puc.ri.gov;	401-780-2107
Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk	Cynthia.WilsonFrias@puc.ri.gov;	
Public Utilities Commission	Alan.nault@puc.ri.gov;	
89 Jefferson Blvd.	Todd.bianco@puc.ri.gov;	
Warwick, RI 02888	Margaret.hogan@puc.ri.gov;	
	John.harrington@puc.ri.gov;	
DOCKET NO. 4780	sommering to repair to pacific	
ChargePoint, Inc.	EPare@brownrudnick.com;	617-856-8338
Edward D. Pare, Jr., Esq.		
Brown Rudnick LLP	<u>jreyes@brownrudnick.com</u> ;	
One Financial Center		
Boston, MA 02111	Anne.Smart@chargepoint.com;	
	Kevin.Miller@chargepoint.com;	
Anne Smart, Charge Point, Inc.	avvolval an @ a alvanta a amana a a ama	617-342-6800
<b>Direct Energy</b> Craig R. Waksler, Esq.	cwaksler@eckertseamans.com;	017-342-0800
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC	rmmurphy@eckertseamans.com; dclearfield@eckertseamans.com;	413-642-3575
Two International Place, 16 <sup>th</sup> Floor	Marc.hanks@directenergy.com;	413-042-3373
Boston, MA 02110	Marc.nanks@directenergy.com,	
Marc Hanks, Sr. Mgr./GRA		
Direct Energy Services,		
Breet Breigy Services,		
INTERESTED PERSONS		
EERMC	marisa@desautelesq.com;	401-477-0023
Marisa Desautel, Esq	guerard@optenergy.com;	
John DiTomasso, AARP	jditomasso@aarp.org;	401-248-2655
Frank Epps, EDP	Frank@edp-energy.com;	
Matt Davey	mdavey@ssni.com;	
Jesse Reyes	JReyes@brownrudnick.com;	
Nathan Phelps	nathan@votesolar.org;	
Douglas W. Gablinske, TEC-RI	doug@tecri.org;	
Radina Valova, Pace Energy & Climate	rvalova@law.pace.edu;	
Ctr.		
Marc Hanks, Sr. Mgr./GRA	Marc.hanks@directenergy.com;	413-642-3575
Direct Energy Services	cwaksler@eckertseamans.com;	
Lisa Fontanella	<u>Lisa.Fontanella@spglobal.com</u> ;	

Janet Gail Besser, SEPA (Smart Electric	jbesser@sepapower.org;	
Power Alliance)		
Frank Lacey, EAC Power	frank@eacpower.com;	
Hank Webster	hwebster@acadiacenter.org;	401-276-0600
Policy Advocate & Staff Attorney		
Acadia Center		
144 Westminster Street, Suite 203		
Providence, RI 02903-2216		